

FY 1999 AMENDED BUDGET ESTIMATES

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD



DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

**MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
PROGRAM**

FY 1999

JUSTIFICATION DATA SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS

FEBRUARY 1998

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

19980305 019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

	PAGE NO.
STATE LIST OF PROJECTS	i-ii
MISSION LISTING	iii
LANGUAGE	iv
PROGRAM AND FINANCE SCHEDULE	v
OBJECT CLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE	vi
SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS	vii - xiv
FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PLAN AUDIT TRAIL	xv - xvi
PROJECT JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENTS	1 - 43
PLANNING & DESIGN JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT	44
MINOR CONSTRUCTION JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT	45

SUMMARY PROJECT LIST
MAJOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION ARMY NATIONAL GUARD
FISCAL YEAR 1999
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

<u>STATE</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH AMOUNT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	DD FORMS 1391 <u>PAGE</u>
<u>INSIDE THE UNITED STATES</u>				
ARIZONA	PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX) COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	<u>10,640</u>	<u>10,640</u>	1
	STATE TOTAL	10,640	10,640	
IDAHO	GOWEN FIELD READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	<u>4,224</u>	<u>4,224</u>	6
	STATE TOTAL	4,224	4,224	
IOWA	CAMP DODGE (JOHNSTON) FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	<u>737</u>	<u>737</u>	10
	STATE TOTAL	737	737	
KENTUCKY	GREENVILLE (WESTERN KY TRAINING SITE PHASES IV AND V	<u>5,435</u>	<u>5,435</u>	15
	STATE TOTAL	5,435	5,435	
MINNESOTA	CAMP RIPLEY (LITTLE FALLS) RANGE MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE SNIPER (NGATS)	<u>1,023</u>	<u>1,023</u>	20
	STATE TOTAL	1,023	1,023	

<u>STATE</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>AUTH AMOUNT</u>	<u>APPROP AMOUNT</u>	
NORTH DAKOTA	BISMARCK ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY READINESS CENTER COMPLEX EXPANSION	<u>6,240</u>	<u>6,240</u>	25
	STATE TOTAL	6,240	6,240	
OKLAHOMA	LEXINGTON AASF EXPANSION	<u>7,382</u>	<u>7,382</u>	30
	STATE TOTAL	7,382	7,382	
VIRGINIA	POWHATAN READINESS CENTER	<u>2,435</u>	<u>2,435</u>	35
	STATE TOTAL	2,435	2,435	
WEST VIRGINIA	CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD) READINESS CENTER	<u>4,465</u>	<u>4,465</u>	39
	STATE TOTAL	4,465	4,465	
TOTAL MAJOR CONSTRUCTION		42,581	42,581	
SUPPORT:				
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,548	4,548	44
	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	<u>546</u>	<u>546</u>	45
	SUBTOTAL SUPPORT	5,094	5,094	
GRAND TOTAL AUTHORIZATION/APPROPRIATIONS		47,675	47,675	

NEW MISSION / CURRENT MISSION
FISCAL YEAR 1999

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>(000)</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>
PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX), AZ	CSMS	10,640	C
GOWEN FIELD, ID	READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	4,224	N
CAMP DODGE (JOHNSTON), IA	FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	737	C
GREENVILLE, KY	WESTERN KENTUCKY TRAINING SITE PH IV & V	5,435	C
CAMP RIPLEY (LITTLE FALLS), MN	MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN/SNIPER (NGATS) RANGE	1,023	C
BISMARCK, ND	AASF/READINESS CENTER COMPLEX EXPANSION	6,240	N
LEXINGTON, OK	AASF EXPANSION	7,382	N
POWHATAN, VA	READINESS CENTER	2,435	C
CAMP DAWSON, WV (KINGWOOD)	READINESS CENTER	4,465	C
TOTAL NEW MISSION			17,846
TOTAL CURRENT MISSION			24,735
TOTAL PLANNING & DESIGN			4,548
TOTAL MINOR CONSTRUCTION			<u>546</u>
	GRAND TOTAL		47,675

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR 1999

APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Army National Guard, as authorized by Chapter 1803 of Title 10, United States Code, as amended, and the Reserve Forces Facilities Act \$102,499,000 (\$47,675,000) to remain available until 30 September 2002 (30 September 2003).

Mil. Con., Army National Guard
Program and Financing (in Thousands of dollars) SUMMARY

Identification code	21-2085-0-1-051	Budget Plan (amount for MILITARY CONSTRUCTION actions programmed)				Obligations	
		1997 actual	1998 est.	1999 est.	1997 actual	1998 est.	1999 est.
Program by activities:							
Direct program:							
00.0101	Major construction	52,586	88,970	42,581	183,481	102,579	57,910
00.0201	Minor construction	5,500	7,498	546	6,080	4,488	1,815
00.0301	Planning	20,000	6,031	4,548	20,482	7,015	4,138
00.9101	Total direct program	78,086	102,499	47,675	210,043	114,082	63,863
10.0001	Total	78,086	102,499	47,675	210,043	114,082	63,863
Financing:							
17.0001	Recovery of prior year obligations				-8,442		
21.4002	Unobligated balance available, start of year:						
21.4009	For completion of prior year budget plans				-236,710	-112,318	-100,735
	Reprogramming from/to prior year budget plan	-877					
	Unobligated balance available, end of year:						
24.4002	For completion of prior year budget plans	877			112,318	100,735	84,547
25.0001	Unobligated balance expiring				877		
39.0001	Budget authority	78,086	102,499	47,675	78,086	102,499	47,675
Budget authority:							
40.0001	Appropriation	78,086	118,350	47,675	78,086	118,350	47,675
40.7901	Line item veto cancellation (-)		-15,851			-15,851	
43.0001	Appropriation (adjusted)	78,086	102,499	47,675	78,086	102,499	47,675
Relation of obligations to outlays:							
71.0001	Obligations incurred				210,043	114,082	63,863
72.4001	Obligated balance, start of year				226,228	218,862	174,258
74.4001	Obligated balance, end of year				-218,862	-174,258	-119,385
77.0001	Adjustments in expired accounts (net)				-2,293		
78.0001	Adjustments in unexpired accounts				-8,442		
90.0001	Outlays (net)				206,674	158,686	118,736

Mil. Con., Army National Guard
Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars) SUMMARY

Identification code	21-2085-0-1-051	1997 actual	1998 est.	1999 est.
Direct obligations:				
125.101	Advisory and assistance services	84		
125.201	Other services with the private sector	10,196	2,260	1,383
126.001	Supplies and materials		26	15
131.001	Equipment	18	52	31
132.001	Land and structures	199,745	111,744	62,434
199.001	Total Direct obligations	210,043	114,082	63,863
999.901	Total obligations	210,043	114,082	63,863

Mil. Con.. Army National Guard
 Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars)

Identification code	21-2085-0-1-051	1997 actual	1998 est.	1999 est.
Direct obligations:				
125.101	Advisory and assistance services	84		
125.201	Other services with the private sector	10,196	2,260	1,383
126.001	Supplies and materials		26	15
131.001	Equipment	18	52	31
132.001	Land and structures	199,745	111,744	62,434
199.001	Total Direct obligations	210,043	114,082	63,863
999.901	Total obligations	210,043	114,082	63,863

SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

POLLUTION ABATEMENT: The military construction projects proposed in the program will be designed to meet environmental standards. Military construction projects proposed primarily for abatement of existing pollution programs at installations have been reviewed to ensure that corrective design is accomplished in accordance with specific standards and criteria.

ENERGY CONSERVATION: Military construction projects specifically for energy conservation at installations have been developed, reviewed, and selected with prioritization by energy savings per investment cost. Projects include improvements to existing facilities and utility systems to upgrade design, eliminate waste, and install energy savings devices. Projects are designed for minimum energy consumption.

FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT AND WETLANDS PROTECTION: Proposed land acquisition, disposition, and installation construction projects have been planned to allow the proper management of flood plains and the protection of wetlands by avoiding long and short-term adverse impacts, reducing the risk of flooding losses, and minimizing the loss or degradation of wetlands. Project planning is in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order No. 11988 and 11990.

DESIGN FOR ACCESSIBILITY OF PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED PERSONNEL: In accordance with Public Law 90-480, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

PRESERVATION OF HISTORICAL SITES AND STRUCTURES: Facilities included in this program do not directly or indirectly affect a district, site, building, structure, object, or setting listed in the National Register of Historic Places, except as noted on DD Form 1391.

USE OF EXCESS SCHOOLS AND OTHER BUILDINGS: Considerations were made to utilize available commercial or academic buildings, but none were found suitable.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: In accordance with Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Military Construction Program.

NON-MILCON CONSTRUCTION: \$90.8 Million in the FY99 ARNG Real Property Operations and Maintenance program, will maintain 37,602,155 SF in 5,712 logistical support buildings. Furthermore, it supports 283 training sites, 12,377 buildings totaling 35,601,912 SF in the 54 States, Territories and Commonwealths used by the ARNG, and other DOD component forces.

The funding is dedicated to Annual Recurring Maintenance of \$46.6 Million and routine or emergency maintenance and repair projects of approximately \$44.2 Million.

LONG-TERM FACILITIES CONTRACTS: The National Guard does not have any long-term facilities contracts.

CONSTRUCTION CRITERIA: Unless otherwise noted, the projects comply with the scope and design criteria prescribed in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

AREA COST FACTOR: Area Cost Factor (ACF) indexes displayed for each appropriate area were developed from State and historical construction cost records and may not directly collate with specific cost factors developed by the US Army Corps of Engineer for Service-wide use.

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS: Economics are an inherent aspect of project development and design of military construction projects. Therefore, projects included in this program represent the most economical use of resources.

RESERVE MANPOWER POTENTIAL: The Reserve manpower potential to meet and maintain authorized strengths of all Reserve units in the areas where units are to be located has been reviewed in accordance with the procedures described in DOD Instruction 1225.8. It has been determined, in coordination with the other Military Departments having Reserve units in the area, that the number of Reserve Components presently located in the area, and those units having been allocated to this area for future activation, is not and shall not be larger than the number that reasonably may be maintained at authorized strength.

CONGRESSIONAL REPORTS: The following is a synopsis of projects contained in Congressional Reports:

House of Representatives, Military Construction Appropriations Bill, 1998 (H. R. 105-150), dated 24 June 1997.

(Page 22) - Annual Reporting Requirement - Backlog. The Army National Guard reports that the current backlog of facility requirements totals 788 unbudgeted projects at a cost of \$3,485,117,380 beyond those project currently programmed through fiscal year 2003 in the Future Years Defense Plan. The Committee will expect that this itemized list of 788 specific projects (which is described as a 25 year facilities plan) will be maintained, updated, and revised as necessary for the indefinite future. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army and the Director of the Army National Guard to make a joint report annually on the current backlog of facilities requirements of the Army National Guard, using the itemized list of 788 specific projects as a starting point and justifying in detail any cancellation, substitution, addition, re-prioritization, re-pricing, re-scoping, and any other revision to this list. This joint annual report is to be submitted concurrently with the annual budget request.

ARNG Response: This report was submitted to Congress in January 1998.

(Page 22) - Armories/Readiness Centers. The Committee is aware that the existing inventory of 3,214 armories/readiness centers includes the full range of conditions, from poorly maintained aged facilities to excellent modern facilities...The Committee questions the extent to which considerable resources have been expended on armory/readiness center upgrades and replacements...the Committee will expect the Army National Guard to take a more direct role in selecting the prioritizing those armories/readiness centers for which it is seeking funding...Priority for armory projects will include but not be limited to the following criteria: Construction for units which have a high relative priority within the state and within the Army National Guard, etc...The Committee will consider future requests for armory/readiness center projects in light of these criteria.

ARNG Response: The National Guard Bureau has established parameters for prioritizing its armory/readiness center construction program. Because of funding constraints, only high priority projects will be included in this program.

(Page 23) Annual Reporting Requirement - Armory Infrastructure. The Army National Guard and the National Guard Bureau are directed to undertake a nationwide effort to:
1. Develop a plan for the long-range stationing of Army National Guard units...2. Identify priorities for new or reconfigured armories...3. Evaluate and rate existing armories according to age...The Secretary of the Army, the Director of the National Guard Bureau, and the Director of the Army National Guard are directed to report jointly to the Committee by January 1, 1998 on the status of this nationwide effort.

ARNG Response: This report was submitted to Congress in January 1998.

(Page 23) Future Years Defense Plan. It is the Committee's view that section 123 of Public Law 104-196 constitutes a continuing permanent requirement for the Army National Guard and the Air National Guard to present the Future Years Defense Plan to Congress concurrent with the President's budget submission for each fiscal year. The Committee will expect subsequent submissions of the Future Years Defense Plan to include explanatory notes justifying any modification of prior year plan.

ARNG Response: The Future Years Defense Plan has been included as part of the President's Budget.

(Page 23) Ownership and Control of Armories/Readiness Centers. According to National Guard Bureau policy, States sign cooperative agreements whereby armories built on state land, but financed primarily with Federal funds, will be owned and controlled by the States but obligated to Federal use for 25

years. At the expiration of that period, there is no further legal obligation for Federal use...The Committee encourages the National Guard Bureau to review its policy of 25-year use agreements so that the Federal Government may share in the fair market value of armories/readiness centers for the entire economic life of the structure. The Committee will expect that all future contract awards for armories/readiness centers will be contingent upon signed cooperative agreements obligating facilities to Federal use for not less than 50 years, unless specifically justified for shorter periods of time.

ARNG Response: In accordance with current NGB regulations, a State is required to execute a Military Construction Cooperative Agreement (MCCA) prior to receiving Federal reimbursement of State design and construction costs. The MCCA requires that the State make the facility available for National Guard use for a period of 25 years from the date of acceptance. These regulations allow Federal reimbursement for construction of permanent facilities. Changes in building systems technology, deterioration due to a perennial shortage of required Real Property Operations & Maintenance (RPOM) funding, local code changes, etc., all necessitate major renovation well before expiration of such operable life. Industry renovation standards are at 27 years of age. Thus, NGB has chosen 25 years. If the Federal Government doubles the cooperative agreement period to 50 years, and major renovation were normally on a 25-year cycle, a State would have two agreements operating concurrently. The current requirement to make a facility available for its intended purpose for 25 years from date of acceptance is prudent, supportable, and desirable from both the State and Federal viewpoints.

(Page 24) Academic/Educational Facilities. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army and the Director of the Army National Guard to report jointly to the Committee by January 1, 1998 on the review and approval process that is applied to certify the facilities requirement for academic/educational facilities for the Army National Guard. The report should address specifically the system that is used to inventory the infrastructure capacity currently available to the Army and the Army National Guard, and the means of determining and validating the future infrastructure requirements of the Army and the Army National Guard for academic/educational facilities.

ARNG Response: This report was submitted to Congress in January 1998.

Senate Military Construction Appropriation Bill, 1998 (Report 105-52), dated 17 July 1997.

(Page 23) The Committee commends the Department of Defense for developing a long-term plan and program for replacement and rehabilitation of National Guard armories. The Committee

directs that the National Guard Bureau develop and provide a future years defense plan to the appropriate committees not later than March 30, 1998.

ARNG Response: The Future Years Defense Plan has been included in the President's Budget Request.

(Page 23) The Committee has added \$14,571,000 for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives and minor construction projects listed below. The Department is expected to program the construction funds for these projects.

Readiness Center, Dagsboro, DE - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,300,000 be made available for the design of a readiness center, Dagsboro, DE. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The design of this project has been funded and a design contract has been awarded.

Regional Training Center, Camp Dawson, WV - The Committee urges the Army National Guard to accelerate the planning and design at Camp Dawson and for which planning funds were provided in fiscal year 1997. The Committee expects that this project will be included in the National Guard 5-year plan and the President's fiscal year 1999 budget request.

ARNG Response: This project is currently under design. It is not included in the FY99 budget request because of funding constraints but is currently in the FY2000 Future Years Defense Plan.

Combat Pistol Course, Fort Harrison, MT - Of the \$40,900,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$800,000 be made available for a combat pistol qualification course. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: Anticipated award date for this construction project is 3rd Quarter FY98.

Troop Medical Clinic/Military Entrance Processing Station, Camp Dodge, IA - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$427,000 be made available for the design of a troop medical clinic/military entrance processing station at Camp Dodge, IA. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: This project is under design using in-house State funding.

Mobilization and Training Equipment Site, Yakima Training Center, WA - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee

directs that not less than \$1,184,000 for the design of a mobilization and training equipment site (MATES), Yakima Training Center, WA. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The design of this project has been funded and a design contract has been awarded.

Reserve Center, Great Falls, MT - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$360,000 be made available for the design of a Reserve center, Great Falls, MT. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The programming documents (DD Forms 1390/91s) for this Readiness Center are being staffed within NGB. Once this staffing is completed, design authority will be granted to the State.

Combined Support Maintenance Shop/Armed Forces Reserve Center, Eleanor, WV. - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of a combined support maintenance shop and associated Armed Forces Reserve center in Eleanor, WV. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The programming documents (DD Forms 1390/91s) are being staffed within NGB. Once this staffing is completed, design authority will be granted to the State.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Los Alamitos, CA. - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$710,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center, Los Alamitos, CA. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The programming documents (DD Forms 1390/91s) are being staffed within NGB. Once this staffing is completed, design authority will be granted to the State.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Billings, MT. - Of the \$14,950,000 provided for construction, the Committee directs that not less than \$550,000 be made available to support construction of curbs, gutters, sidewalk, adjacent street paving, and water recycle systems associated with this project.

ARNG Response: Water recycling systems associated with this project are being funded by NGB. Finals are approved and an anticipated award date for construction is 2nd quarter 1998.

Dual-use Training Facility, Northfield, VT. - The Committee is aware that the Army National Guard is working with the Vermont National Guard and Norwich University to develop a new type of

training facility...Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of this dual-use training facility. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The programming documents (DD Form 1390/91s) are being staffed within the NGB. Once this staffing is completed, design authority will be granted to the State.

Readiness Center, Bryan, TX. - The Committee is aware of a requirement to renovate the readiness center in Bryan, TX. The existing facility was built over 40 years ago and is inadequate for the current mission and training requirements of the unit. The individuals assigned to this facility drill in an overcrowded and substandard readiness center. The Committee strongly urges the Army National Guard to include funding in the fiscal year 1999 budget request to renovate this readiness center.

ARNG Response: Based on its ranking in the ARNG Infrastructure Requirements Plan, this project was not included in the fiscal year 1999 budget request.

Organizational Maintenance Shop, Fort Huachuca, AZ. - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$350,000 be made available for the design of an organizational maintenance shop, Fort Huachuca, AZ. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The programming documents (DD Forms 1390/91s) are being staffed within NGB. Once this staffing is completed, design authority will be granted to the State.

Readiness Center, Oklahoma City, OK. - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$497,000 be made available for the design of a readiness center, Oklahoma City, OK. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The design of this project has been funded and a design contract has been awarded.

Advanced Technology Training Center, Fort Harrison, MT. - Of the \$33,000,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$163,000 be made available for the design of an advanced technology training center at Fort Harrison, MT. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

ARNG Response: The programming documents are being staffed within NGB. Once the staffing is completed, design will be authorized to the State.

House of Representatives Appropriations Conference Report
(H.R. 105-247), dated 9 September 1997.

(Page 10) **Alaska-Bethel: Aviation Operations Facility.** The Conferees agree to grant reprogramming approval for the funded project.

ARNG Response: Funding for this project was appropriated in P.L. 105-45. Therefore, no reprogramming is required.

(Page 10) **Massachusetts - Westover AFB: Aviation Simulation Facility.** - The conferees direct that this project is to be accomplished within funds provided for unspecified minor construction.

ARNG Response: Unspecified minor construction funds have been provided to the State for this project and a construction contract has been awarded.

(Page 10) **Michigan-Calumet: Armory Improvement.** - The conferees direct that this project is to be accomplished within funds provided for unspecified minor construction, in order to improve disabled access.

ARNG Response: The State will provide programming documents (DD Form 1390/91s) to NGB in February 1998. Once the documents are received and staffing completed, funding will be provided to the State.

Senate "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998" (Report 105-29), dated 17 June 1997.

(Page 371) Army aviation operating facility, Bethel, Alaska. The Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 1995 authorized for appropriation \$6.4 million for the construction of an Army aviation operating facility at Bethel, Alaska. The committee understands that due to recent deployment of new aircraft, changes in building codes and fire suppression requirements this project requires additional funding in the amount of \$4.6 million...the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a cost variation report and reprogramming request to the congressional defense committees to fund the completion of the facility.

ARNG Response: Funding for this project was appropriated in P.L. 105-45. Therefore, no reprogramming is required.

State	Installation	Project	Budget Amount	Change	Reason
FY 1999 FUNDED PROGRAM					
	MAJOR CONSTRUCTION		42,581,000	18,941,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
AZ	PHOENIX	CSMS	10,640,000	10,640,000	MORE FUNDING/ACCELERATED FROM 2000
CO	FORT CARSON	MATES EXPANSION, PH I	0	(2,870,000)	RESCOPED/MOVED TO 2001
GA	ELBERTON	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT	0	(1,818,000)	RESCOPED/MOVED TO 2001
IA	CAMP DODGE	TNG SITE, FUEL DISPENSING FAC	737,000		
ID	GOWEN FIELD	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT	4,224,000	4,224,000	MORE FUNDING/ACCELERATED FROM 2000
KY	GREENVILLE	WESTERN KENTUCKY TNG SITE, PH IV/V	5,435,000	5,435,000	MORE FUNDING/ACCELERATED FROM 2002 AND 2003
LA	CP BEAUREGARD	RANGE, MULTIPURPOSE MG (RETS)	0	(615,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
MN	CAMP RIPLEY	RANGE, MPMG/SNIPER (RETS)	1,023,000		
ND	BISMARCK	AASF/READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT	6,240,000	6,240,000	MORE FUNDING/ACCELERATED FROM 2000
OK	LEXINGTON	AASF EXPANSION	7,382,000	(490,000)	RESCOSTED
VA	POWHATAN	READINESS CENTER	2,435,000	(275,000)	RESCOSTED
WA	YAKIMA	MATES, PH I	0	(5,995,000)	RESCOPED/MOVED TO 2000
WV	CP DAWSON (KINGWOOD)	READINESS CENTER	4,465,000	4,465,000	MORE FUNDING/ACCELERATED FROM 2002
	VARIOUS	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	546,000	(6,454,000)	ALLOCATED MORE TO PROJECTS
	VARIOUS	PLANNING & DESIGN	4,548,000	1,388,000	ADDED MORE PROJECTS
		TOTAL FUNDED 1999	47,675,000	13,875,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
FY 2000 FUNDED PROGRAM					
	MAJOR CONSTRUCTION		56,330,000	15,598,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
AK	ANCHORAGE	CSMS/MATES	13,181,000	4,269,000	RESCOPED TO ADD MATES
AZ	PHOENIX	CSMS, PH I	0	(5,500,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 1999
ID	GOWEN FIELD	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT	0	(3,367,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 1999
IL	MARSEILLES	TNG SITE, BN COMPLEX	10,545,000	5,545,000	RESCOPED TO INCLUDE ALL PHASES
KY	GREENVILLE	WESTERN KENTUCKY TNG SITE, PH III	0	(3,630,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
MT	BILLINGS	AFRC	0	(10,673,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
NC	CHARLOTTE	READINESS CENTER	5,710,000	5,710,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM 2001
ND	BISMARCK	AASF/READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT	0	(3,650,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 1999
WA	YAKIMA	MATES, PH I	13,894,000	13,894,000	RESCOPED/MOVED FROM 1999
WV	CP DAWSON (KINGWOOD)	REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE	13,000,000	13,000,000	CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTION
	VARIOUS	UNSPECIFIED MINOR	707,000	(793,000)	ALLOCATED MORE TO PROJECTS
	VARIOUS	PLANNING & DESIGN	3,963,000	1,295,000	ADDED MORE PROJECTS
		TOTAL FUNDED 2000	61,000,000	16,100,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
FY 2001 FUNDED PROGRAM					
	MAJOR CONSTRUCTION		43,610,000	16,811,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
AL	HUNTSVILLE	UTES	6,293,000	6,293,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM 2003
CA	FORT IRWIN	MATES, PH I	12,254,000	7,170,000	RESCOPED
CO	FORT CARSON	MATES	11,633,000	11,633,000	MOVED FROM 1999 TO DO COMPLETE PROJECT
FL	PENSACOLA	READINESS CENTER	1,747,000	1,747,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM 2002
GA	ELBERTON	READINESS CENTER	4,994,000	4,994,000	MOVED FROM 1999 BECAUSE STATE RESCOPED
KY	GREENVILLE	WESTERN KENTUCKY TNG SITE, PH IV	0	(1,502,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 1999
NC	CHARLOTTE	READINESS CENTER	0	(5,994,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 2000
NC	CHARLOTTE	OMS	3,592,000	(81,000)	RESCOSTING
NM	TAOS	READINESS CENTER	3,097,000	930,000	RESCOPED
VT	COLCHESTER	CSMS	0	(8,379,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
	VARIOUS	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	427,000	(1,073,000)	ADDED MORE TO PROJECTS
	VARIOUS	PLANNING & DESIGN	3,963,000	1,125,000	ADDED MORE PROJECTS
		TOTAL FUNDED 2001	48,000,000	16,863,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE

State	Installation	Project	Budget Amount	Change	Reason
FY 2002 FUNDED PROGRAM					
	MAJOR CONSTRUCTION		43,240,000	13,802,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
AR	BENTON	READINESS CENTER	2,009,000	2,009,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
DE	DAGSBORO	READINESS CENTER	3,243,000	3,243,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM 2003
FL	PENSACOLA	READINESS CENTER	0	(1,786,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 2001
KY	GREENVILLE	WESTERN KENTUCKY TNG SITE, PH V	0	(2,327,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 1999
MI	AUGUSTA	READINESS CENTER	0	(5,014,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
MO	MACON	READINESS CENTER	0	(1,864,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
NV	CARSON CITY	READINESS CENTER	5,431,000	5,431,000	RESCOPED/MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM 2003
OR	SALEM	AFRC	10,952,000	10,952,000	RESCOPED/MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM 2003
SC	EASTOVER	SIMULATION CENTER	2,936,000	136,000	RESCOPED
SD	RAPID CITY	AASF MAIN HANGER/SHOPS	5,085,000	735,000	RESCOPED
WV	CP DAWSON (KINGWOOD)	READINESS CENTER	0	(2,545,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 1999
WY	CAMP GUERNSEY	CSMS/OMS/UTES	13,584,000	4,832,000	RESCOPED/RESCOSTED
	VARIOUS	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	797,000	(703,000)	MORE FUNDS TO PROJECTS
	VARIOUS	PLANNING & DESIGN	3,963,000	864,000	ADDED MORE PROJECTS
		TOTAL FUNDED 2002	48,000,000	13,963,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
FY 2003 FUNDED PROGRAM					
	MAJOR CONSTRUCTION		43,178,000	10,902,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
AL	HUNTSVILLE	UTES	0	(4,998,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 2001
DE	DAGSBORO	READINESS CENTER	0	(3,317,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 2002
DE	SMYRNA	READINESS CENTER	5,317,000	5,317,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
MD	ANNAPOLIS	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT	0	(2,963,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
MS	BROOKHAVEN	READINESS CENTER	2,724,000	2,724,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
MS	SENATOBIA	READINESS CENTER	0	(4,425,000)	APPROPRIATED 1998
ND	WAPHETON	READINESS CENTER	4,544,000	4,544,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
NJ	FORT DIX	TNG TECH BATTLE LAB EXPAN PH II	7,805,000	7,805,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
NV	CARSON CITY	READINESS CENTER	0	(2,880,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 2002
OR	SALEM	AFRC	0	(10,774,000)	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED TO 2002
SC	EASTOVER	INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE	3,763,000	3,763,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
SC	SPARTANBURG	READINESS CENTER	5,180,000	5,180,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
SD	MITCHELL	CSMS	8,978,000	8,978,000	MORE FUNDS/ACCELERATED FROM IRP
TN	ALCOA	READINESS CENTER	4,867,000	1,948,000	RESCOPED
	VARIOUS	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	859,000	(641,000)	MORE FUNDS TO PROJECTS
	VARIOUS	PLANNING & DESIGN	3,963,000	802,000	ADDED MORE PROJECTS
		TOTAL FUNDED 2003	48,000,000	11,063,000	PLUSUP FROM OFFSITE
NOTE: IRP MEANS THE INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS PLAN SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS DURING 105TH CONGRESS.					

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX), AZ				4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.00	
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL ADMINISTRATION FIVE (5) DAYS PER WEEK WITH A TWO (2) DAY TRAINING ASSEMBLY PER MONTH					
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS					
ARNG: PHOENIX (.25 MILES) AASF 37,699 SF 1973					
PHOENIX (1 MILE) OMS 6,336 SF 1973					
PHOENIX (1 MILE) OMS 5,868 SF 1985					
PHOENIX (8 MILES) OMS 5,821 SF 1979					
MESA (7 MILES) OMS 5,030 SF 1955					
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM					
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START COMPLETE	
214	COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	8,503 SM	10,640	11/93	06/97
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION					
FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE/EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION.				21 MAY 97 (Date)	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE				0 (Number of Acres)	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS NONE					
RPM BACKLOG (\$000): \$170					
"A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."					

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998																							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX), AZ																									
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																									
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%;">PERMANENT OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%;">ENLISTED</th> <th style="width: 15%;">CIVILIAN</th> <th style="width: 15%;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%;">GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%;">ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">84</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> <td style="text-align: center;">75</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4000</td> <td style="text-align: center;">541</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3459</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">46</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">39</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4099</td> <td style="text-align: center;">546</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3553</td> </tr> </table>		TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZE	84	7	75	2	4000	541	3459	ACTUAL	46	5	39	2	4099	546	3553
	TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED																		
AUTHORIZE	84	7	75	2	4000	541	3459																		
ACTUAL	46	5	39	2	4099	546	3553																		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																									
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH																								
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
ALL ARIZONA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD UNITS	4,000	4,099																							
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																									
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
WHEELED VEHICLES	2,205	2,013																							
TRACKED VEHICLES	122	119																							
TRAILERS	338	293																							
GENERATORS	326	292																							
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																									
	(\$000)																								
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE																								
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE																								
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE																								

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX), ARIZONA		4. PROJECT TITLE COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (CSMS)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 214	7. PROJECT NUMBER 040086	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 10,640	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				7,783
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	SM	7,405	973	(7,205)
SURFACE MAINTENANCE OFFICE.....	SM	262	809	(212)
ENCL. UNHEATED STG; CONSOLIDATED WASTE FAC	SM	836	436	(365)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				2,187
SITE PREP/FINE GRADING/SEEDING/PLANTING..	LS	-	-	(506)
LOAD RAMP, LIGHTING, LUBE/INSP RACK, FUEL SYS	LS	-	-	(185)
FENCING.....	LM	710	47	(33)
PAVING (FLEXIBLE), FUEL TRK M&R SHELTER..	SM	269	368	(99)
PAVING (RIGID).....	SM	2,293	377	(864)
UTILITIES: WATER/SEWER/GAS/ELEC.....	LS	-	-	(240)
LOCKER, SHELVING & WORK BENCH.....	LS	-	-	(260)
SUBTOTAL.....				9,969
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				525
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				10,495
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.37%).....				146
TOTAL FEDERAL REQUEST.....				10,640
TOTAL STATE REQUEST.....NON ADD				(57)
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR...NON ADD				(65)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION				
<p>Project will consist of a specially designed maintenance facility with 24 workbays and 10 special purpose bays, plus an administrative work area constructed of masonry, standing seam metal roof and concrete floor. Partitions to consist of masonry block. Supporting facilities include rigid paving and a covered storage building.</p> <p>Air Conditioning - 93 tons</p>				
11. REQUIREMENT: 8,503 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,678 SM				
<p><u>PROJECT:</u> A maintenance facility of 8,503 SM is necessary to effectively support the State's National Guard mission. The amount of equipment in the State has increased to the point where the present shops can barely keep up with the work flow. (Current Mission)</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The project is required to meet the current maintenance mission of the Arizona National Guard. A new facility is needed to keep up with increased maintenance requirements. This new facility will give the CSMS the necessary room to perform its mission, which they are currently doing in a facility that is less than one half the size authorized.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing shop contains 1,678 SM, constructed in 1968, which was designed for less equipment. There is insufficient storage for most of the activities required by this facility in the areas of supply, communications, calibration and vehicles. The present number of workbays is 50% of requirements. The office space is inadequate for the amount of floor work and the number of employees.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Quality of work will continue to decrease due to deficient and inadequate accommodations to perform maintenance and administrative functions. This will have a severe, negative impact on the States unit readiness, which in turn detracts from unit morale and threatens retention.</p>				

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX), ARIZONA			
4. PROJECT TITLE COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (CSMS)		5. PROJECT NUMBER 040086	
<div data-bbox="990 1795 1404 1837">LTC LINDBERG/602-267-2771</div>			

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998												
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX), AZ														
4. PROJECT TITLE COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	5. PROJECT NUMBER 040086													
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA:</p> <div style="margin-left: 80px;"> <p>(1) STATUS:</p> <p>(a) Date design started.....11/93</p> <p>(b) Percent complete as of JAN 1997.....100%</p> <p>(c) Date design expected to be 35% complete.....11/94</p> <p>(d) Date design complete..... 6/97</p> <p>(2) BASIS:</p> <p>(a) Standard or definitive design - Yes_ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where design was most recently used <u>N/A</u></p> <p>(3) TOTAL COST (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e): (\$000)</p> <p>(a) Production of plans and specifications.....(-0-)</p> <p>(b) All other design costs.....(-0-)</p> <p>(c) Total.....(512)</p> <p>(d) Contract.....(512)</p> <p>(e) In-house.....(-0-)</p> <p>(4) CONSTRUCTION START.....12/98 MONTH & YEAR</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations.</p> <table style="margin-left: 80px; width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Equipment Nomenclature</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Procuring Appropriation</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Cost (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TELECOMMUNICATIONS</td> <td>AMSCO 519895.00</td> <td>99</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J-SIIDS</td> <td>OPA-3 MA 00780</td> <td>99</td> <td>15</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div>			<u>Equipment Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>	TELECOMMUNICATIONS	AMSCO 519895.00	99	50	J-SIIDS	OPA-3 MA 00780	99	15
<u>Equipment Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>											
TELECOMMUNICATIONS	AMSCO 519895.00	99	50											
J-SIIDS	OPA-3 MA 00780	99	15											

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GOWEN FIELD (BOISE), IDAHO		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX 1.07
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL ADMINISTRATION FIVE (5) DAYS PER WEEK WITH A TWO DAY TRAINING ASSEMBLY TWICE PER MONTH		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS AF: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB (50 MILES) HILL AFB (390 MILES) FAIRCHILD AFB (400 MILES)		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START	COMPLETE
171	READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ ALTERATION	4,183 SM 4,224 05/93 10/97
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE/EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION.		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE		15 JUL 97 (Date) 0 (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS NONE RPM BACKLOG (\$000): \$1,375 "A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."		

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1999																							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GOWEN FIELD (BOISE), IDAHO																									
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																									
	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">PERMANENT OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">CIVILIAN</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">33</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26</td> <td style="text-align: center;">—</td> <td style="text-align: center;">346</td> <td style="text-align: center;">89</td> <td style="text-align: center;">257</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> <td style="text-align: center;">19</td> <td style="text-align: center;">—</td> <td style="text-align: center;">324</td> <td style="text-align: center;">89</td> <td style="text-align: center;">235</td> </tr> </table>		TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZE	33	7	26	—	346	89	257	ACTUAL	26	7	19	—	324	89	235
	TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED																		
AUTHORIZE	33	7	26	—	346	89	257																		
ACTUAL	26	7	19	—	324	89	235																		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																									
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH																								
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
1-183RD AVIATION BATTALION																									
UIC: WYQRAA TPSN: 190001	301	289																							
COMPANY B, 1-189TH COMBAT ASSAULT BATTALION																									
UIC: WYQSBO TPSN: 19001	35	26																							
DETACHMENT 1, 1-115TH MOBILE PUBLIC AFFAIRS DET																									
UIC: WQENAL TPSN: 34253	10	9																							
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																									
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
WHEELED VEHICLES	93	91																							
TRAILERS	102	97																							
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																									
	(\$000)																								
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE																								
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE																								
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE																								

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GOWEN FIELD (BOISE), IDAHO			4. PROJECT TITLE READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 171	7. PROJECT NUMBER 160056	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 4,224	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				3,125
READINESS CENTER ADDITION.....	SM	3,269	861.00	(2,815)
READINESS CENTER ALTERATION.....	SM	914	339.00	(310)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				844
FLEXIBLE PAVING.....	SM	7,525	29.90	(225)
RIGID PAVING.....	SM	8,529	44.20	(377)
FENCING.....	LM	411	46.00	(19)
FIRE SUPPRESSION/TELECOM.....	LS	-	-	(199)
ASBESTOS SURVEY/FOUNDATION SOILS TESTING..	LS	-	-	(8)
MUD TRAP/OIL WATER SEPARATOR.....	LS	-	-	(16)
SUBTOTAL.....				3,969
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				198
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				4,167
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.37%).....				57
FEDERAL SHARE.....				4,224
STATE SHARE.....NON ADD				(0)
TOTAL REQUEST.....				4,224
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR...NON ADD				(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Construction of additional authorized space. Renovation of existing substandard storage and work bays and office areas into adequate administrative office areas. Upgrade of common/storage areas into usable classrooms. Outside supporting facilities to include additional off-street and military vehicle parking. <u>Air Conditioning - 45 tons</u> 11: REQUIREMENT: 6,016 SM ADEQUATE: 1,833 SM SUBSTANDARD: 914 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> A Department of Defense (DoD) directed reorganization of the 1/183rd Aviation Battalion, and the creation of and 1-189th Combat Assault Battalion caused an extreme shortage of authorized space which necessitated the addition/alteration of the facility. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An addition/alteration of 4,183 SM is required because of the reorganization of units. Classrooms will be upgraded to make them more functional. Approximately, 914 SM of existing area will be modified by studding in walls, lowering ceilings, adding proper lighting, insulation, plus heating and cooling to these substandard areas. These renovations and the additional space will make the existing Readiness Center functional and provide all the space authorized these units. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing Readiness Center is inadequate in the following areas: office space, library/classroom, learning center, administrative space, etc. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Administrative functions will remain cramped and located in temporary facilities, impairing both day to day operations and decreasing effective weekend training. These substandard conditions also affect the recruiting and retention of personnel.				
LTC FIELD/208-422-5290				

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GOWEN FIELD (BOISE), IDAHO								
4. PROJECT TITLE READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	5. PROJECT NUMBER 160056							
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA:</p> <div style="margin-left: 80px;"> <p>(1) STATUS:</p> <p>(a) Date design started..... 5/93</p> <p>(b) Percent complete as of JAN 1997..... 100%</p> <p>(c) Date design expected to be 35% complete..... 5/94</p> <p>(d) Date design complete..... 10/97</p> <p>(2) BASIS:</p> <p>(a) Standard or definitive design - Yes_ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where design was most recently used <u>N/A</u></p> <p>(3) TOTAL COST (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e): (\$000)</p> <p>(a) Production of plans and specifications..... (-0-)</p> <p>(b) All other design costs..... (-0-)</p> <p>(c) Total..... (200)</p> <p>(d) Contract..... (200)</p> <p>(e) In-house..... (-0-)</p> <p>(4) CONSTRUCTION START..... 3/99 MONTH & YEAR</p> </div> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations.</p> <table style="margin-left: 100px; width: 60%; border: none;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Equipment</u> <u>Nomenclature</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Procuring</u> <u>Appropriation</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Appropriated Cost</u> <u>Or Requested (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center; padding-top: 10px;">NONE</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<u>Equipment</u> <u>Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring</u> <u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Appropriated Cost</u> <u>Or Requested (\$000)</u>	NONE		
<u>Equipment</u> <u>Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring</u> <u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Appropriated Cost</u> <u>Or Requested (\$000)</u>						
NONE								

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DODGE (JOHNSTON), IOWA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX .97
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL SERVICE AND STORAGE SEVEN DAYS PER WEEK, 52 WEEKS PER YEAR		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS USNR: DES MOINES, IOWA (12 MILES) RESERVE CENTER USMCR: DES MOINES, IOWA (12 MILES) RESERVE CENTER USAR: DES MOINES, IOWA (12 MILES) RESERVE CENTER ANG: DES MOINES, IOWA (10 MILES) AIR BASE		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM		
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u> 124	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u> FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	<u>SCOPE</u> 113,562 LTR
<u>COST</u> (\$000) 737	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>COMPLETE</u> 10/93 2/97	
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE/EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION.		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE		<u>0</u> (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS NONE RPM BACKLOG (\$000): \$10,966 "A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."		

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DODGE (JOHNSTON), IOWA																												
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																												
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="text-align: center;">PERMANENT OFFICER</th> <th style="text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> <th style="text-align: center;">CIVILIAN</th> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="text-align: center;">GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER</th> <th style="text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1170</td> <td style="text-align: center;">73</td> <td style="text-align: center;">333</td> <td style="text-align: center;">764</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">7500</td> <td style="text-align: center;">824</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6676</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">845</td> <td style="text-align: center;">73</td> <td style="text-align: center;">333</td> <td style="text-align: center;">439</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">7300</td> <td style="text-align: center;">813</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6487</td> </tr> </table>		TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN		TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZE	1170	73	333	764		7500	824	6676	ACTUAL	845	73	333	439		7300	813	6487
	TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN		TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED																				
AUTHORIZE	1170	73	333	764		7500	824	6676																				
ACTUAL	845	73	333	439		7300	813	6487																				
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																												
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH																											
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																										
ALL IOWA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD UNITS	7,500	7,300																										
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																												
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																										
NONE																												
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																												
(\$000)																												
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE																											
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE																											
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE																											

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DODGE, (JOHNSTON), IOWA			4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 124	7. PROJECT NUMBER 190087	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 737	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				272
FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY.....	LS	-	-	(272)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				420
SITE PREPARATION (100% STATE).....	LS	-	-	(13)
GRADING, SEEDING & PLANTING.....	LS	-	-	(8)
RIGID CONCRETE PAVEMENT.....	SM	6,285	35.80	(225)
STABILIZED HARDSTAND.....	SM	1,202	9.98	(12)
SECURITY FENCING.....	LM	393	81.24	(32)
SECURITY LIGHTING.....	LS	-	-	(30)
UTILITIES.....	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL.....				692
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				35
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				727
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.5%).....				10
TOTAL REQUEST.....				737
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR...NON ADD				(2)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Construction will consist of a 94,635 liter Diesel Fuel Storage and Dispensing System adaptable for use of JP-8 fuel, and 18,927 liter MOGAS Fuel Dispensing System with supporting infrastructure to include four pump islands, pumping system, card access control system, environmental safeguards, full and empty-tanker parking areas, security fencing and lighting, rigid concrete paving, stabilized hardstand, required utility connections, as well as any associated work incidental toward the completion of this project. <u>Air Conditioning:</u> none				
11. REQUIREMENT: 113,562 LTR ADEQUATE: 0 LTR SUBSTANDARD: 75,708 LTR <u>PROJECT:</u> This proposed project is required to provide an adequate Diesel/MOGAS dispensing system for individual vehicle fueling and bulk (Top Load) fill capability for units training at or convoys passing through the Camp Dodge Training site. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A Fuel Storage and Dispensing Facility with a capacity of 94,635 liters Diesel and 18,927 liters MOGAS is required to provide adequate refueling support. This requirement is based on the largest 15 day usage over a 12 month period. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing Fuel Dispensing Site is being operated from above-ground tanks located adjacent to Organizational Maintenance Shop #1 and Combined Support Maintenance Shop at the Camp Dodge Training Site. The existing facility is functional as a Fuel Storage and Dispensing Facility, but due to its' lack of parking area, restricted accessibility to convoys and the inability to sustain increasing EPA State Environmental Standards, it must be replaced. The current system is 20 years old and was constructed prior to implementation of State-of-the-Art Environmental Technology. The recent increase in maintenance which the				

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DODGE, (JOHNSTON), IOWA		
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER 190087	
<p><u>CURRENT SITUATION (Continued)</u> system requires is indicative of its age. Replacement of the system would be far more cost effective than the continued repair, renovation, or upgrading the existing facility.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The existing Fuel Storage and Dispensing Facility will continue to increase as the system ages. The environmental risks and costs to meet established standards will also escalate proportionately. Environmental safety associated with the existing fuel system will continue to worsen.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">COL JAVE/515-252-4225</p>		

1. COMPONENT IAARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DODGE, (JOHNSTON) IOWA										
4. PROJECT TITLE FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER 190087									
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA:</p> <div style="margin-left: 80px;"> <p>(1) STATUS:</p> <p>(a) Date design started.....10/93</p> <p>(b) Percent complete as of JAN 98.....100%</p> <p>(c) Date design expected to be 35% complete.....3/94</p> <p>(d) Date design complete.....2/97</p> <p>(2) BASIS:</p> <p>(a) Standard or definitive design.....Yes__ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where design was most recently used.....<u>N/A</u></p> <p>(3) TOTAL COST (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e): (\$000)</p> <p>(a) Production of plans and specifications.....(-0-)</p> <p>(b) All other design costs.....(-0-)</p> <p>(c) Total.....(32)</p> <p>(d) Contract.....(-0-)</p> <p>(e) In-house.....(32)</p> <p>(4) CONSTRUCTION START.....7/99 MONTH & YEAR</p> </div> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations.</p> <table style="margin-left: 100px; width: 60%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">EQUIPMENT <u>NOMENCLATURE</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;">PROCURING <u>APPROPRIATION</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;">FISCAL YEAR <u>APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;">COST <u>(\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Telecom</td> <td>519895.00</td> <td>99</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			EQUIPMENT <u>NOMENCLATURE</u>	PROCURING <u>APPROPRIATION</u>	FISCAL YEAR <u>APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED</u>	COST <u>(\$000)</u>	Telecom	519895.00	99	2
EQUIPMENT <u>NOMENCLATURE</u>	PROCURING <u>APPROPRIATION</u>	FISCAL YEAR <u>APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED</u>	COST <u>(\$000)</u>							
Telecom	519895.00	99	2							

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998																								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTERN KENTUCKY TRAINING SITE, KENTUCKY		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX .95																								
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL ADMINISTRATION AND YEAR ROUND TRAINING OPERATIONS SEVEN DAYS PER WEEK																										
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">ARNG:</td> <td style="width: 25%;">CENTRAL CITY</td> <td style="width: 15%;">(10 MILES)</td> <td style="width: 15%;">ARMORY</td> <td style="width: 15%;">14,370 SF</td> <td style="width: 10%;">1975</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>MADISONVILLE</td> <td>(40 MILES)</td> <td>ARMORY</td> <td>27,927 SF</td> <td>1949</td> </tr> <tr> <td>USA:</td> <td>FORT CAMPBELL</td> <td>(60 MILES)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>FORT KNOX</td> <td>(120 MILES)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			ARNG:	CENTRAL CITY	(10 MILES)	ARMORY	14,370 SF	1975		MADISONVILLE	(40 MILES)	ARMORY	27,927 SF	1949	USA:	FORT CAMPBELL	(60 MILES)					FORT KNOX	(120 MILES)			
ARNG:	CENTRAL CITY	(10 MILES)	ARMORY	14,370 SF	1975																					
	MADISONVILLE	(40 MILES)	ARMORY	27,927 SF	1949																					
USA:	FORT CAMPBELL	(60 MILES)																								
	FORT KNOX	(120 MILES)																								
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th style="text-align: left;">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th style="text-align: left;">SCOPE</th> <th style="text-align: left;">COST (\$000)</th> <th style="text-align: left;">DESIGN STATUS START</th> <th style="text-align: left;">COMPLETE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">171</td> <td>TRAINING COMPLEX, PHASES IV & V</td> <td>4,459 SM</td> <td>5,435</td> <td>08/93</td> <td>07/98</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START	COMPLETE	171	TRAINING COMPLEX, PHASES IV & V	4,459 SM	5,435	08/93	07/98												
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START	COMPLETE																					
171	TRAINING COMPLEX, PHASES IV & V	4,459 SM	5,435	08/93	07/98																					
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE/EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION. <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;"> <u>4 FEB 97</u> (Date) </div>																										
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;"> <u>0</u> (Number of Acres) </div>																										
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">FY 2000</td> <td style="width: 40%;">TRAINING COMPLEX, PH V</td> <td style="width: 40%; text-align: right;">\$2,327</td> </tr> </table> RPM BACKLOG (\$000) \$59 <p style="margin-top: 20px;">"A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."</p>			FY 2000	TRAINING COMPLEX, PH V	\$2,327																					
FY 2000	TRAINING COMPLEX, PH V	\$2,327																								

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998																							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTERN KENTUCKY TRAINING SITE, KENTUCKY																									
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																									
	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;"><u>TOTAL</u></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;"><u>OFFICER</u></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;"><u>ENLISTED</u></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;"><u>CIVILIAN</u></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;"><u>TOTAL</u></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;"><u>OFFICER</u></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;"><u>ENLISTED</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">16</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6550</td> <td style="text-align: center;">637</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5913</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6587</td> <td style="text-align: center;">630</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5957</td> </tr> </table>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	AUTHORIZE	30	4	16	10	6550	637	5913	ACTUAL	8	1	3	4	6587	630	5957
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>																		
AUTHORIZE	30	4	16	10	6550	637	5913																		
ACTUAL	8	1	3	4	6587	630	5957																		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																									
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH																								
	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>																							
ALL KENTUCKY ARMY NATIONAL GUARD UNITS	6,550	6,587																							
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																									
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
WHEELED VEHICLES	24	92																							
TRACKED VEHICLES	149	207																							
TRAILERS	20	107																							
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																									
	(\$000)																								
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE																								
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE																								
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE																								

1. COMPONENT ARNG		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE FEB 1998	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTERN KENTUCKY TRAINING SITE KENTUCKY			4. PROJECT TITLE TRAINING COMPLEX, PHASES IV AND V		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 171	7. PROJECT NUMBER 210153	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 5,435		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				3,957	
RANGE FACILITIES.....	LS	-	-	(1,002)	
BILLET AND STUD SUP FAC.....	SM	2,493	672.28	(1,676)	
EDUCATIONAL AND TRAIN SUP FAC.....	SM	351	430.20	(151)	
SITE SUPPORT FACILITIES.....	SM	1,062	876.65	(931)	
LOGISTICS FACILITIES.....	SM	553	356.24	(197)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				1,136	
SITE PREPARATION.....	LS	-	-	(171)	
FINE GRADING, SEEDING, PLANTING & DRAINAGE	LS	-	-	(118)	
PAVING.....	SM	30,558	18.00	(556)	
UTILITIES: WATER, SEWER, ELECT, TELECOM	LS	-	-	(188)	
SECURITY FACILITIES: LIGHTING & FENCING	LS	-	-	(65)	
ANCILLARY EQUIPMENT.....	LS	-	-	(38)	
SUBTOTAL.....				5,093	
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				268	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				5,361	
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.37%).....				74	
TOTAL REQUEST.....				5,435	
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR..NON ADD				(387)	
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION					
<p>Project consists of specifically designed training support facilities of permanent concrete masonry type construction with limited use of brick, truss and conventional shingle roofs, and concrete floors. All buildings will use mechanical and electrical systems which use the most economical source of energy on the site. Other proposed primary facilities include live fire and simulated fire ranges and pre-engineered shelters. Supporting facilities include site preparation, grading, pavement, seeding, planting, erosion control, paving, utilities, and signage.</p> <p>Air Conditioning - 100 tons</p>					
11. REQUIREMENT: 4,459 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD:					
<p><u>PROJECT:</u> Phases IV and V includes specially designed facilities consisting of live fire and simulated fire ranges and space to support the training activities at the training site. This space includes range facilities, troop barracks with collocated unit support facilities, site support facilities, and logistical facilities.</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Training site facilities are required to provide adequate space and facility-related provisions for units performing continuous annual and weekend training activities without costly movements of units to distant facilities. Additionally, adequate facilities are required for students and instructors attending mandatory skill development courses of instruction. This project will support all units of the Army National Guard as well as all other components (reserve or active), desiring to use the training site. When constructed, these new facilities will enhance combat readiness.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Currently, Phase I of the Training Complex has been completed and Phase II is under construction. Phase III is under design and awaiting construction start in FY98. All phases of construction are addressed in an approved Master Plan. When the first two phases of</p>					

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1988
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTERN KENTUCKY TRAINING SITE KENTUCKY		
4. PROJECT TITLE TRAINING COMPLEX, PHASES IV AND V	5. PROJECT NUMBER 210153	
<p>construction are complete, the complex will have a Multipurpose Training Facility, Dining Facility, enough billets to house up to four armor companies, an Unit Training Equipment Site, a Controlled Humidity Storage Site (for units' equipment), Combat Airfield, large maneuver areas and the capability to support mandatory live and simulated fire exercises (training) for the authorized numbers of units and students. There are no existing area or facility in this region to support reserve component armor unit training with both life support facilities and suitable land area for armor forces maneuver exercises. There are two active component military reservations within the Commonwealth of Kentucky (Fort Campbell and Fort Knox). Fort Campbell has no life support facilities (barracks, dining facilities, unit administration and supply facilities) for reserve component use. Fort Knox is heavily used and is more often than not unavailable for reserve component use during this highly limited training periods. Additionally, neither installation has the open maneuver areas which are available at the Western Kentucky Training Site. Other military reservations which contain provisions for reserve component training are much greater distances (hundreds of miles) than the active component reservations in Kentucky. Currently, the site is capable of accommodating up to 175 troops. In the near future, upon completion of Phase II, the Training Site will be capable of billeting 290 soldiers. However, other training site facilities, such as the training and dining facilities, the Unit Training Equipment Site, and maneuver areas are capable of supporting Battalions of well over 500 troops.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> This proposed facility reduces the travel time/distance to other military reservations (Forts Campbell and Knox) by 63 and 25 percent respectively. Failure to provide needed facilities at the Western Kentucky Training Site will reduce the available training time (approximately 25 percent) which will in turn adversely affect the readiness of the units forced to train elsewhere. In addition, savings will be realized in fuel, repair and maintenance costs and ultimately, a reduced state of combat readiness. Ultimately, non-support of this proposal will adversely affect morale, recruiting and retention.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">LTC FINCHAM/502-564-8481</p>		

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WESTERN KENTUCKY TRAINING SITE KENTUCKY										
4. PROJECT TITLE TRAINING COMPLEX, PHASES IV AND V		5. PROJECT NUMBER 210163								
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA:</p> <div style="margin-left: 80px;"> <p>(1) STATUS:</p> <p>(a) Date design started.....08/93</p> <p>(b) Percent complete as of JAN 1997..... 35%</p> <p>(c) Date design expected to be 35% complete.....01/98</p> <p>(d) Date design complete.....07/98</p> <p>(2) BASIS:</p> <p>(a) Standard or definitive design - Yes_ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where design was most recently used <u>N/A</u></p> <p>(3) TOTAL COST (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e): (\$000)</p> <p>(a) Production of plans and specifications.....(-0-)</p> <p>(b) All other design costs.....(-0-)</p> <p>(c) Total.....(257)</p> <p>(d) Contract.....(257)</p> <p>(e) In-house.....(-0-)</p> <p>(4) CONSTRUCTION START.....04/99 MONTH & YEAR</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations.</p> <table style="margin-left: 100px; width: 80%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Equipment Nomenclature</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Procuring Appropriation</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Cost (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Furniture</td> <td>AMSCO 519892.30</td> <td>99</td> <td>387</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div>			<u>Equipment Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>	Furniture	AMSCO 519892.30	99	387
<u>Equipment Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>							
Furniture	AMSCO 519892.30	99	387							

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP RIPLEY (LITTLE FALLS), MINNESOTA		
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997		
	PERMANENT <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u> <u>CIVILIAN</u>	GUARD/RESERVE <u>TOTAL</u> <u>OFFICER</u> <u>ENLISTED</u>
AUTHORIZE	_____	_____
ACTUAL	_____	_____
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA		
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH	
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD UNITS FROM:		
MN, SD, IA, WI, ND, IL, OK,		
OH, AR, CA, SC, NE, MI, MO,	N/A	N/A
AND USAR UNITS.		
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT		
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL
N/A		
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES		
	(\$000)	
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE	
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE	
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE	

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP RIPLEY (LITTLE FALLS), MINNESOTA			4. PROJECT TITLE MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN/SNIPER (NGATS) RANGE	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 179	7. PROJECT NUMBER 270118	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,023	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				782
MPMG/SNIPER RANGE.....	LS	-	-	(782)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				178
AMMUNITION BREAKDOWN BUILDING.....	SM	22	318.00	(7)
COMPOSITE FACILITY.....	SM	201	483.30	(97)
UTILITY SYSTEMS.....	LS	-	-	(53)
FLAG POLE.....	LS	-	-	(1)
GRADING AND SEEDING.....	LS	-	-	(20)
SUBTOTAL.....				960
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				48
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				1,008
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.45%).....				15
TOTAL REQUEST.....				1,023
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR...NON ADD.				(427)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION New construction of a year round use Multipurpose Machine Gun/Sniper New Generation Army Target System (NGATS) Range that will overlay the existing Tank/Bradley Table VI Range. Project will include relocation of an Armor Moving Target Carrier (AMTC) latrine/mess facility, target shed, electrical system upgrade and associated earthwork. This range will conform to current reserve component training requirements. <u>Air Conditioning: none</u> 11. REQUIREMENT: 6 Lanes ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,051 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> A six lane Multi-Purpose Machine Gun Transition Range, to include relocation of an Automated Moving Target Carrier (AMTC), three lanes of which will have the additional targets required to provide a Sniper Training Field Fire Range. This range will allow Camp Ripley Training Site to more effectively support the Machine Gun and Advanced Rifle Marksmanship Training needs of the MNARNG, the ARNG of other States and other Reserve Component Units performing year round IDT training and winter and/or summer Annual Training at Camp Ripley. (Current Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This Range facility is required for ARNG and other Reserve Component units performing year round IDT training, winter and/or summer Annual Training and will conform to current Army standards. The introduction of an AMTC will greatly improve realism for the soldiers/crews utilizing this range for Machine Gun, Tank/Bradley Table VI and/or Advanced Rifle Marksmanship training. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Existing range facilities are outdated and cannot be modified to satisfy current Army or environmental standards. Concurrent training facilities that could be utilized year round are non-existent.				

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE - FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP RIPLEY (LITTLE FALLS), MINNESOTA			
4. PROJECT TITLE MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN/SNIPER (NGATS) RANGE		5. PROJECT NUMBER 270118	
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Existing ranges are not capable of completely fulfilling training needs. As a result, the MNARNG and other Reserve Component units who utilize Camp Ripley, are currently unable to receive the required level of weapons training. This shortcoming could adversely impact upon the readiness of the soldiers to perform in a national emergency.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">COL JOHNSON/612-632-7315</p>			

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP RIPLEY (LITTLE FALLS) MINNESOTA		
4. PROJECT TITLE MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN/SNIPER (NGATS) RANGE	5. PROJECT NUMBER 270118	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA: (1) STATUS: (a) Date design started..... 2/94 (b) Percent complete as of JAN 1997..... 100% (c) Date design expected to be 35% complete..... 3/95 (d) Date design complete.....12/95 (2) BASIS: (a) Standard or definitive design - Yes_ No <u>X</u> (b) Where design was most recently used <u>HNDM 1110-1-15</u> (3) TOTAL COST (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e): (\$000) (a) Production of plans and specifications.....(-0-) (b) All other design costs.....(-0-) (c) Total.....(43) (d) Contract.....(43) (e) In-house.....(-0-) (4) CONSTRUCTION START.....10/98 <div style="text-align: right;">MONTH & YEAR</div> b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations.		
<u>Equipment</u> <u>Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring</u> <u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Appropriated</u> <u>Cost</u> <u>Or Requested</u> <u>(\$000)</u>
NGATS Targetry	OPA	99 427

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATIONS FIVE DAYS PER WEEK AND TWO WEEKENDS INACTIVE DUTY TRAINING ASSEMBLIES PER MONTH		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS ARNG: BISMARCK (6 MILES) ARMORY 141,364 SF 1988 BISMARCK (6 MILES) OMS 15,600 SF 1989 USAR: BISMARCK (4 MILES) RESERVE CENTER BISMARCK (4 MILES) AMSA		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM		
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u> 211	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u> ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY/ READINESS CENTER EXPANSION	<u>SCOPE</u> 8,679 SM
	<u>COST</u> (\$000) 6,240	<u>DESIGN STATUS</u> <u>START</u> <u>COMPLETE</u> 1/93 1/98
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION.		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE		0 (_____ (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS <p style="text-align: center;">NONE</p> RPM BACKLOG (\$000): \$2,502 <p>"A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."</p>		

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998															
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA																	
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																	
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="3">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">GUARD/RESERVE</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> <th>CIVILIAN</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>OFFICER</th> <th>ENLISTED</th> </tr> </table>		PERMANENT			GUARD/RESERVE				TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	
	PERMANENT			GUARD/RESERVE													
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED										
AUTHORIZE	39	6	29	4	415	129	286										
ACTUAL	30	5	21	4	432	141	291										
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																	
				STRENGTH													
UNIT DESIGNATION				AUTHORIZED		ACTUAL											
HQ STATE AREA COMMAND (STARC) (-)																	
UIC: W8BQAA TPSN: 56751				233		247											
HEADQUARTERS, HEADQUARTERS COMPANY																	
1-112TH AVIATION BATTALION																	
UIC: WQRQTO TPSN: 19001				77		75											
COMPANY A, 1-112TH AVIATION BATTALION																	
UIC: WQRQAO TPSN: 19001				97		102											
DETACHMENT 42, OPERATIONS SUPPORT, AIRLIFT COMMAND																	
UIC: W7Y442 TPSN: 56751				8		8											
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																	
TYPE				AUTHORIZED		ACTUAL											
C-12				1		1											
UH-1				8		10											
OH-58				2		4											
WHEELED VEHICLES				39		32											
TRAILERS				31		26											
WHEELED VEHICLES > 30'				3		1											
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																	
				(\$000)													
A. AIR POLLUTION				NONE													
B. WATER POLLUTION				NONE													
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH				NONE													

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA		4. PROJECT TITLE ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY/ READINESS CENTER EXPANSION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 171	7. PROJECT NUMBER 380069	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 6,240	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				5,249
AASF ADDITION.....	SM	302	937.00	(283)
AASF ALTERATION.....	SM	1,945	237.00	(461)
AASF REHABILITATION.....	SM	923	118.00	(109)
AASF GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT BLDG.....	SM	111	414.41	(46)
READINESS CENTER.....	SM	4,003	948.00	(3,795)
UNIT STORAGE BUILDING.....	SM	211	398.10	(84)
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING.....	SM	1,184	397.80	(471)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				579
SITE PREPARATION.....	LS	-	-	(76)
GRADING/SEEDING/PLANTING/FENCING.....	LS	-	-	(82)
PAVING.....	LS	-	-	(358)
UTILITIES.....	LS	-	-	(63)
SUBTOTAL.....				5,828
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				290
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				6,118
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (2%).....				122
TOTAL REQUEST.....				6,240
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR...NON ADD				(87)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION				
<p>Construction consists of a specially designed aviation complex expansion and rehabilitation of permanent masonry type construction, brick and concrete block exterior walls, single membrane roof and concrete floors. Single story construction with all necessary mechanical and electrical equipment, outside supporting facilities, prewired workstations, installed lockers, installed and set in place kitchen equipment.</p> <p><u>Air Conditioning - 115 tons</u></p>				
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 8,983 SM ADEQUATE: 304 SM SUBSTANDARD: 2,868 SM</p> <p><u>PROJECT:</u> Proposed construction consists of renovating, rehabilitating and providing an addition to the current Army Aviation Support Facility (AASF); replacing and expanding the existing Readiness Center, which is being altered to satisfy AASF shortfalls; and new facilities for Unit Storage, Motor Vehicle Storage and Ground Support Equipment Storage. (New Mission)</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> These facilities are required to provide administration and aviation maintenance support to the assigned personnel of the North Dakota, Army National Guard (NDARNG) aviation units; store assigned equipment and vehicles, and provide required training areas, admin space and logistical support capabilities.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The present facilities are being used beyond capacity due to force structure changes. The current AASF, constructed in 1976 to support two detachment size units and one fixed wing aircraft is not adequate to fulfill the current battalion support requirements. In addition to space shortages, there are personnel safety factors and code compliance requirements that require remediation. It is intended to alter current readiness center space to satisfy the deficit of AASF space. The readiness center, built to house a detachment (55 person) size unit, containing 722 square meters is critically short of administration</p>				

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BISMARCK, NORTH DAKOTA			
4. PROJECT TITLE ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY/ READINESS CENTER EXPANSION		5. PROJECT NUMBER 380069	
<p><u>CURRENT SITUATION (Continued)</u> space, classrooms, locker rooms, supply rooms and has no assembly hall. Due to the changes in force structure and criteria, the present readiness center has only 20 percent of the amount required to adequately house, train and administer a modern ARNG unit. Altering the current armory space to fulfill a 26 percent deficit in required AASF operational space is the best effective option as there is no other facility available to provide the function that this construction would provide.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Assigned personnel will continue to function in overcrowded conditions with a large deficit in administration, training and storage areas. Training conditions will be less than "to standard" due to facility constraints. These conditions will have a negative impact on readiness and morale. This could have a negative effect on the assigned mission and increase the costs associated with the units training requirements.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">LTC RYDELL/701-224-5140</p>			

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998															
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LEXINGTON, OKLAHOMA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX .96															
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL ADMINISTRATION FIVE DAYS PER WEEK PLUS SCHEDULED WEEKEND TRAINING ASSEMBLIES, NORMALLY TWICE PER MONTH																	
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">ARNG:</td> <td style="width: 25%;">LEXINGTON</td> <td style="width: 25%;">COLLOCATED ARMORY</td> <td style="width: 15%;">20,900 SF</td> <td style="width: 20%;">1976</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>LEXINGTON</td> <td>COLLOCATED ARMORY</td> <td>4,450 SF</td> <td>1986</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>NORMAN</td> <td>(16 MILES) ARMORY</td> <td>11,114 SF</td> <td>1957</td> </tr> </table>			ARNG:	LEXINGTON	COLLOCATED ARMORY	20,900 SF	1976		LEXINGTON	COLLOCATED ARMORY	4,450 SF	1986		NORMAN	(16 MILES) ARMORY	11,114 SF	1957
ARNG:	LEXINGTON	COLLOCATED ARMORY	20,900 SF	1976													
	LEXINGTON	COLLOCATED ARMORY	4,450 SF	1986													
	NORMAN	(16 MILES) ARMORY	11,114 SF	1957													
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left; vertical-align: bottom;">CATEGORY CODE</th> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left; vertical-align: bottom;">PROJECT TITLE</th> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left; vertical-align: bottom;">SCOPE</th> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left; vertical-align: bottom;">COST (\$000)</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: left; vertical-align: bottom;">DESIGN STATUS</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; vertical-align: bottom;">START</th> <th style="text-align: left; vertical-align: bottom;">COMPLETE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">214</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (AASF) EXPANSION</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">4,695 SM</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">7,382</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">6/94</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">10/97</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS		START	COMPLETE	214	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (AASF) EXPANSION	4,695 SM	7,382	6/94	10/97	
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE					COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS									
			START	COMPLETE													
214	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (AASF) EXPANSION	4,695 SM	7,382	6/94	10/97												
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 70%;">FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE/EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION.</div> <div style="width: 25%; text-align: right;"> <u>16 OCT 97</u> (Date) </div> </div>																	
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 70%;">NONE</div> <div style="width: 25%; text-align: right;"> <u>0</u> (Number of Acres) </div> </div>																	
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">NONE</div> <div style="margin-top: 20px;">RPM BACKLOG (\$000): \$72</div> <div style="margin-top: 20px;">"A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."</div>																	

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998																							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LEXINGTON, OKLAHOMA																									
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																									
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">PERMANENT OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">CIVILIAN</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">62</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9</td> <td style="text-align: center;">52</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">128</td> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">48</td> <td style="text-align: center;">8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">39</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">129</td> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> </tr> </table>		TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZE	62	9	52	1	128	_____	_____	ACTUAL	48	8	39	1	129	_____	_____
	TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED																		
AUTHORIZE	62	9	52	1	128	_____	_____																		
ACTUAL	48	8	39	1	129	_____	_____																		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																									
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH																								
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
COMPANY G(-), 149TH AVIATION UIC: WV71A1 TPSN: 19003	91	90																							
DET 1, 812 AIR AMBULANCE COMPANY UIC: WYU8AA TPSN: 32755	37	39																							
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																									
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
AIRCRAFT	14	14																							
CH-47D	(8)	(8)																							
UH-1H/V	(6)	(6)																							
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																									
	(\$000)																								
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE																								
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE																								
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE																								

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LEXINGTON, OKLAHOMA			4. PROJECT TITLE ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (AASF) EXPANSION	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 214	7. PROJECT NUMBER 400104	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,382	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				3,077
HANGAR, SHOPS & ADMIN AREAS.....	SM	2,313	831.39	(1,923)
UNHEATED AIRCRAFT STORAGE BUILDING.....	SM	2,358	484.31	(1,142)
FLAMMABLE MATERIALS & POL STORAGE.....	SM	24	500.00	(12)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				3,840
FUEL STORAGE & DISPENSING SYSTEM.....	LTR	26,498	13.20	(350)
LIGHTING, UTILITIES, EXT. FIRE PROTECTION	LS	-	-	(103)
PAVING: FLEXIBLE & RIGID.....	SM	79,495	37.05	(2,945)
DEMOLITION & UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS...	LS	-	-	(187)
FENCING, SITE PREP, GRADING, SEEDING & LANDSCAPING.....	LS	-	-	(255)
SUBTOTAL.....				6,917
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				364
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				7,281
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.37%).....				101
TOTAL REQUEST.....				7,382
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPRO..NON ADD				(86)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Construction of a new maintenance hangar at the existing AASF to support the daily maintenance requirements for the CH-47 helicopters. Facility will be metal with brick veneer, sloped roof, concrete floors, electrically operated hangar doors, Aviation Life Support Equipment (ALSE) storage area, allied trade shops, overhead moving crane and prewired work stations as installed building equipment. Supporting facilities will be concrete parking, taxi lanes, tie downs, utilities, sidewalks, fuel storage, wash rack, flammable storage, petroleum, oil and lubricant (POL) storage, airfield lighting, exterior fire protection, flexible paving and fencing. <u>Air Conditioning - 7 tons</u> 11. REQUIREMENT: 9,126 SM ADEQUATE: 4,431 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> Construction of a facility to perform maintenance and repair on CH-47 helicopters and supporting facilities. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> To construct an additional 4,695 SM of maintenance hangar, unheated aircraft storage, flammable materials storage and POL storage, which will permit maintenance of aircraft to be performed in a facility conducive to work and workforce to include aircraft parking and supporting facilities. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The present facility was constructed in 1975 and contains 4,431 SM. The facility was designed and constructed to perform maintenance and operate OH-58 and UH-1 helicopters. The facility contains allied trade shops that were designed to support the shorter blade and smaller rotary wing aircraft. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The CH-47 aircraft began to arrive in FY 94. There is not sufficient space to maintain the existing smaller aircraft plus the addition of the CH-47's at the same time and much of the maintenance is being performed outside. The existing facility does not				

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LEXINGTON, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (AASF) EXPANSION		5. PROJECT NUMBER 400104
<p>have the shop capacity, vault space, crane capacity and other needed features necessary to support the CH-47 maintenance requirements. The existing hangar area would accommodate only two CH-47's (with blades open). The existing aircraft parking areas consist of concrete pads with asphalt hover lanes designed for small rotary wing aircraft. The current condition of the existing aircraft parking area is poor with severely deteriorating pavement which is already presenting a safety hazard to personnel and aircraft due to loose debris. The asphalt will not hold the weight of the CH-47's and the end result is that the CH-47 will sink into the asphalt. In addition, the existing aircraft parking does not provide adequate space, taxiways, traffic control lighting, safety zones (required by regulation) nor the utilities needed at the parking pads. The existing aircraft parking area does not provide for safe operation of the aircraft, especially in the situation of having the mix of small aircraft with the large CH-47's. Rotowash from the CH-47 can be detrimental to UH-1's, endangering both personnel and property. Presently, there is nowhere except the adjacent grass/gravel apron areas to park the aircraft or perform maintenance in fair and/or inclement weather.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">LTC SHANAHAN/405-425-8577</p>		

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION POWHATAN, VIRGINIA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX .98
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL ADMINISTRATION FIVE DAYS PER WEEK WITH ONE WEEKEND TRAINING ASSEMBLY PER MONTH		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS		
ARNG:	FARMVILLE (40 KM) ARMORY	1,103 SM 1956
	RICHMOND (DOVE ST) (48 KM) ARMORY	5,626 SM 1962
	RICHMOND (CSMS) (48 KM) ARMORY	1,082 SM 1950
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM		
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE
171 10/97	READINESS CENTER	2,970 SM
		COST (\$000) 2,435
		DESIGN STATUS START 3/96 COMPLETE
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION		
FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE/EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION.		30 APR 97 (Date)
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED		
NONE		0 (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS		
NONE		
RPM BACKLOG (\$000): \$0		
"A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."		

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998																							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION POWHATAN, VIRGINIA																									
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																									
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">PERMANENT OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">CIVILIAN</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">—</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">118</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">113</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">—</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">102</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">97</td> </tr> </table>		TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZE	5	—	4	1	118	5	113	ACTUAL	4	—	3	1	102	5	97
	TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED																		
AUTHORIZE	5	—	4	1	118	5	113																		
ACTUAL	4	—	3	1	102	5	97																		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																									
UNIT DESIGNATION	STRENGTH																								
	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
COMPANY A, 276TH ENGINEER BATTALION	118	102																							
UIC: WP1XAO TPSN: 21353																									
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																									
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
WHEELED VEHICLES	45	45																							
TRAILERS OR TOWED EQUIPMENT	19	19																							
TRACKED VEHICLES OR EQUIPMENT	4	4																							
EQUIPMENT OVER 30 FT LONG	6	6																							
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																									
	(\$000)																								
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE																								
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE																								
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE																								

1. COMPONENT ARNG		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION POWHATAN, VIRGINIA				4. PROJECT TITLE READINESS CENTER		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A		6. CATEGORY CODE 171		7. PROJECT NUMBER 510021		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,435
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST
						COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....						1,356
READINESS CENTER.....				SM	3,021	448.86 (1,356)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....						(922)
SITE PREPARATION (100% STATE).....				LS	-	(150)
FINE GRADING, SEEDING & PLANTING.....				LS	-	(100)
PAVING: MILITARY, POV & ACCESS.....				SM	8,697	42.66 (371)
FENCING.....				LS	282	56.73 (16)
WALKS, TRASH CONTAIN PAD, FLAGPOLE, & SIGN				LS	-	(22)
FUEL STORAGE & DISPENSING SYSTEM.....				EA	1	35,000 (35)
WASH PLATFORM & STORM WATER RETEN BASIS..				LS	-	(40)
UTILITIES.....				LS	-	(188)
SUBTOTAL.....						2,278
CONTINGENCY (5%).....						120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....						2,398
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.5%).....						37
FEDERAL SHARE.....						2,435
STATE SHARE.....NON ADD						(1,064)
TOTAL REQUEST.....						2,435
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR.NON ADD						(40)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION						
<p>A single story facility of concrete block with brick veneer, built-up or standing seam metal roof, concrete block partitions, and concrete floors. Prewired work stations are included in this project. Unheated storage areas may be separate, detached buildings. Outside items include a fenced military vehicle parking area, a fuel storage and dispensing system, a wash platform, off-street parking areas and walks.</p> <p><u>Air Conditioning - 25 tons</u></p>						
11. REQUIREMENT: 2,970 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,051 SM						
<u>PROJECT:</u> Construction of a replacement facility having the authorized administrative, training, and storage areas needed for Company A, 276th Engineer Battalion to train. (Current Mission)						
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A new Readiness Center is required to replace the existing Armory which is in poor condition; deficient in all functional areas; and cannot be rehabilitated or expanded due to site constraints. The new Readiness Center will be located on a State owned 10 acre site.						
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The present Armory provides less than one-third of the space allowed by National Guard Bureau criteria. The Armory cannot be expanded due to the constraints of the 1.89 acre site. Powhatan County has expressed an interest in acquiring the present Armory to use for its parks and recreation program.						
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The lack of an adequate Readiness Center will hamper Company A, 276th Engineer Battalion's ability to meet its training objectives and improve its readiness posture. Troop morale, recruiting, and retention will be adversely affected.						
LTC HUXTABLE/804-775-9425						

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION POWHATAN, VIRGINIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE READINESS CENTER	5. PROJECT NUMBER 510021	

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA:

(1) STATUS:

(a) Date design started..... 3/96

(b) Percent complete as of JAN 1997..... 100%

(c) Date design expected to be 35% complete..... 4/96

(d) Date design complete.....10/97

(2) BASIS:

(a) Standard or definitive design - Yes_ No X

(b) Where design was most recently used N/A

(3) TOTAL COST (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e): (\$000)

(a) Production of plans and specifications..... (-0-)

(b) All other design costs..... (-0-)

(c) Total..... (163)

(d) Contract..... (163)

(e) In-house..... (-0-)

(4) CONSTRUCTION START..... 1/99

MONTH & YEAR

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations.

<u>Equipment Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>
Telecon	AMSCO 519895.00	99	2
J-SIIDS	OPA	99	6
Furniture & Office Equipment	AMSCO 519892.30	99	15
Kitchen Equip	AMSCO 519892.30	99	17

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD), WEST VIRGINIA		4. AREA CONSTR COST INDEX .94
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE UTILIZATION NORMAL ADMINISTRATION FIVE DAYS PER WEEK, PLUS SCHEDULED WEEKEND TRAINING ASSEMBLIES NORMALLY ONCE PER MONTH, AND ANNUAL TRAINING AS REQUIRED.		
6. OTHER ACTIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTALLATIONS WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS ARNG: MORGANTOWN (35 MILES) ARMORY 17,157 SF 1964 USAR: KINGWOOD (5 MILES) ARMORY 1995 MORGANTOWN (35 MILES)		
7. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM		
<u>CATEGORY CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>	<u>SCOPE</u>
171	READINESS CENTER	5,045 SM
	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS START COMPLETE
	4,465	08/97 08/98
8. STATE RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES BOARD RECOMMENDATION FACILITIES IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 6 HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY THE STATE JSRCFB FOR POSSIBLE JOINT USE/EXPANSION. THE BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS ARE UNILATERAL CONSTRUCTION.		
9. LAND ACQUISITION REQUIRED NONE		11 AUG 97 (Date) 0 (Number of Acres)
10. PROJECTS PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YEARS NONE RPM BACKLOG (\$000): \$61 "A SITE SURVEY HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS SUITABLE FOR CONSTRUCTING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AT THE ESTIMATED COST INDICATED."		

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 GUARD AND RESERVE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2. DATE FEB 1999																							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD), WEST VIRGINIA																									
11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF JUNE 1997																									
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%;">PERMANENT OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%;">ENLISTED</th> <th style="width: 15%;">CIVILIAN</th> <th style="width: 15%;">TOTAL</th> <th style="width: 15%;">GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER</th> <th style="width: 15%;">ENLISTED</th> </tr> <tr> <td>AUTHORIZE</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> <td style="text-align: center;">17</td> <td style="text-align: center;">—</td> <td style="text-align: center;">265</td> <td style="text-align: center;">32</td> <td style="text-align: center;">233</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACTUAL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14</td> <td style="text-align: center;">—</td> <td style="text-align: center;">267</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26</td> <td style="text-align: center;">241</td> </tr> </table>		TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED	AUTHORIZE	24	7	17	—	265	32	233	ACTUAL	18	4	14	—	267	26	241
	TOTAL	PERMANENT OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	TOTAL	GUARD/RESERVE OFFICER	ENLISTED																		
AUTHORIZE	24	7	17	—	265	32	233																		
ACTUAL	18	4	14	—	267	26	241																		
12. RESERVE UNIT DATA																									
UNIT DESIGNATION	AUTHORIZED	STRENGTH ACTUAL																							
DETACHMENT 3, STATE AREA COMMAND (STARC) UIC: W8BSA3 TPSN: 56751	40	27																							
229TH ENGINEER DETACHMENT UIC: WVRAAA TPSN: 31600	60	67																							
SERVICE BATTERY, 1-201ST FIELD ARTILLERY UIC: WP43BO TPSN: 21864	82	92																							
COMPANY C, 2ND SPECIAL FORCES BATTALION, 19TH SPECIAL FORCES GROUP UIC: WTN7CO TPSN: 25320	83	81																							
13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT																									
TYPE	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL																							
WHEELED VEHICLES	69	69																							
TRACKED VEHICLES	6	6																							
TRAILERS	37	37																							
14. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES																									
	(\$000)																								
A. AIR POLLUTION	NONE																								
B. WATER POLLUTION	NONE																								
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	NONE																								

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD), WEST VIRGINIA			4. PROJECT TITLE READINESS CENTER	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE 171	7. PROJECT NUMBER 540064	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 4,465	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				3,600
READINESS CENTER.....	SM	5,045	713.58	(3,600)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				584
SITE PREPARATION (100% STATE).....	LS	-	-	(50)
FINE GRADING, SEEDING & PLANTING.....	LS	-	-	(103)
FENCING.....	LM	229	52.40	(12)
PAVING: MILITARY, OFF-STREET & ACCESS...	SM	13,459	23.92	(322)
TURNPADS.....	SY	335	23.88	(8)
SIDEWALKS.....	SM	167	53.89	(9)
FLAGPOLE.....	LS	-	-	(5)
UTILITIES: WATER, SEWER, ELECT, & TELE..	LS	-	-	(75)
SUBTOTAL.....				4,184
CONTINGENCY (5%).....				220
TOTAL CONTRACT COST.....				4,404
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.37%).....				61
FEDERAL SHARE.....				4,465
STATE SHARE.....NON ADD				(1,628)
TOTAL REQUEST.....				4,465
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR..NON ADD				(115)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION				
<p>Project is a specially designed Readiness Center of permanent masonry type construction, brick and concrete block units with ballasted roof and concrete floors. A one-story structure with mechanical and electrical equipment. Outside supporting facilities include military and off-street parking, sidewalks and access roads.</p> <p><u>Air Conditioning - 60 tons</u></p> <p>REQUIREMENT: 5,045 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,388 SM</p> <p><u>PROJECT:</u> A new facility is requested to serve as a readiness platform for the peacetime mission of the assigned units. The space will permit all personnel to perform the necessary tasks that will improve their readiness posture to support combat objectives. (Current Mission)</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This facility is required to house Detachment 3, Headquarters Detachment, West Virginia-State Area Command (STARC); 229th Engineer Detachment; Service Battery, 1st Battalion, 201st Field Artillery; and Company C, 2nd Special Forces Battalion, 19th Special Forces Group; with a combined strength of 265. This facility will provide the necessary administrative, training and storage areas required to achieve proficiency in required training tasks.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Detachment 3, Headquarters Detachment, WV-STARC; 229th Engineer Detachment; Service Battery, 1st Battalion, 201st Field Artillery; and Company C, 2nd Special Forces Battalion, 19th Special Forces Group, are located in an inadequate facility. Two of these units, Company C, 2nd Special Forces Battalion, 19th Special Forces Group and Service Battery, 1st Battalion, 201st Field Artillery are high priority units. The existing armory consists of 1,388 SM and was constructed in 1963. The armory was constructed as a single unit armory and later expanded to a two unit armory. Frequent reorganizations have increased the number of units using the armory from two units to currently four</p>				

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD), WEST VIRGINIA			
4. PROJECT TITLE READINESS CENTER		5. PROJECT NUMBER 540064	
<p><u>CURRENT SITUATION (Continued)</u> units and the strength from 135 to 265 persons. The current facility is inadequate to fully support the units assigned due to lack of adequate parking, storage, administrative, and training areas. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The present facility deficiencies will continue to negatively impact readiness and troop morale. The lack of proper and adequate training, storage, and administrative areas will continue to impair the attainment of required readiness levels.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">COL BURCH/304-341-6450</p>			

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE FEB 1998																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD) WEST VIRGINIA																						
4. PROJECT TITLE READINESS CENTER	5. PROJECT NUMBER 540064																					
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. ESTIMATED DESIGN DATA:</p> <div style="margin-left: 80px;"> <p>(1) STATUS:</p> <p>(a) Date design started..... 8/97</p> <p>(b) Percent complete as of JAN 1998..... 35%</p> <p>(c) Date design expected to be 35% complete..... 1/98</p> <p>(d) Date design complete.....08/98</p> <p>(2) BASIS:</p> <p>(a) Standard or definitive design - Yes_ No <u>X</u></p> <p>(b) Where design was most recently used <u>N/A</u></p> <p>(3) TOTAL COST (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e): (\$000)</p> <p>(a) Production of plans and specifications.....(196)</p> <p>(b) All other design costs.....(65)</p> <p>(c) Total.....(261)</p> <p>(d) Contract.....(0)</p> <p>(e) In-house.....(0)</p> <p>(4) CONSTRUCTION START..... 6/99</p> <p style="text-align: right;">MONTH & YEAR</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations.</p> <table style="margin-left: 80px; width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Equipment Nomenclature</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Procuring Appropriation</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Cost (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Kitchen Equipment</td> <td>AMSCO 519892.30</td> <td>99</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J-SIIDS</td> <td>OPA</td> <td>99</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Telephone Equipment</td> <td>AMSCO 519895.00</td> <td>99</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Physical Fitness Equipment</td> <td>AMSCO 519892.30</td> <td>99</td> <td>35</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div>			<u>Equipment Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>	Kitchen Equipment	AMSCO 519892.30	99	40	J-SIIDS	OPA	99	20	Telephone Equipment	AMSCO 519895.00	99	20	Physical Fitness Equipment	AMSCO 519892.30	99	35
<u>Equipment Nomenclature</u>	<u>Procuring Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>																			
Kitchen Equipment	AMSCO 519892.30	99	40																			
J-SIIDS	OPA	99	20																			
Telephone Equipment	AMSCO 519895.00	99	20																			
Physical Fitness Equipment	AMSCO 519892.30	99	35																			

1. COMPONENT ARNG		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE FEB 1998	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS			4. PROJECT TITLE PLANNING AND DESIGN		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE N/A	7. PROJECT NUMBER 999901	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 4,548		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....					4,548
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....					(4,548)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....					0
SUBTOTAL.....					4,548
CONTINGENCY (0%).....					0
TOTAL REQUEST.....					4,548
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Items provide for concept and final design of construction for Army National Guard facilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: Funds requested are required for completing the outstanding final design of Military Construction Army National Guard projects programmed for execution during FY 1999, continuing FY 2000 designs and for execution of the planned designs of the FY 2001 program. Funding request is considered to be absolute minimum to achieve only the mandated design status for projects programmed during the cites fiscal years. The requested funding permits the design of unspecified or undefined minor construction projects intended to be executed during FY 1999.					

1. COMPONENT ARNG	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE FEB 1998
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION APPROPRIATE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD INSTALLATIONS AS NECESSARY			4. PROJECT TITLE UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 0505896A	6. CATEGORY CODE N/A	7. PROJECT NUMBER 999905	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 546	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....				538
MINOR CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES				
UNSPECIFIED LOCATIONS.....				(538)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....				0
SUBTOTAL.....				538
CONTINGENCY (0%).....				0
SUPERVISION, INSP & OVERHEAD (1.45%).....				8
TOTAL REQUEST.....				546
EQUIP PROVIDED FROM OTHER FED APPR...NON ADD				(0)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Unspecified minor construction projects which have a funded cost of \$1,500,000 or less, including construction, alteration, or conversion of permanent or temporary facilities or land acquisition as authorized under Title 10 USC 18233a(a)(1). <u>Air Conditioning - None</u>				
11. REQUIREMENT: <u>PROJECT:</u> Minor Military Construction. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> To provide funds for the construction of projects not otherwise authorized by law. Such requirements are the result of recognized facilities shortfalls, or unforeseen conditions resulting from changes in mission and equipment, or to correct damage caused by severe weather or other acts of nature. The dollar costs for correcting these identified shortfalls are such that they do not require specific authorization in the regular Military Construction Program. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> These urgent unforeseen projects address high priorities such as critical mission requirements, environmental protection, health, and safety. These projects can not wait until the next annual budget submission. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Critically needed projects will not be accomplished which will jeopardize personnel and/or equipment.				